



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/986,168 | 11/07/2001 | Sandra S. Webb | BS00-340 | 4958 |
| 28970 | 7590 | 06/24/2005 | EXAMINER | |
| PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD MCLEAN, VA 22102 | | | BETIT, JACOB F | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2164 | |

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/986,168

Applicant(s)

WEBB ET AL.

Examiner

Jacob F. Betit

Art Unit

2164

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 April 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 and 23-45 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 and 23-45 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 18-April-2005 has been entered.

Remarks

2. In response to communications filed on 18-April-2005, claims 1, 14, 20, 26, 30, 37, 41, and 45 are amended per applicant's request. Claims are presently pending in the application 1-21 and 23-45.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-21 and 23-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams et al. (U.S. patent No. 5,815,657) in view of Lefkowitz (U.S. application publication No 2001/0037250 A1).

As to claim 1, Williams et al. teaches a method for database registration, the method comprising:

receiving a user identifier of a user via a first input field of a graphical user interface (see column 20, line 60 through column 21 line 20);

sending a query to a first database based at least in part on the user identifier (see column 19, lines 20-40, where it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that a query would be used to retrieve the user data of the selected user after a password was entered);

receiving a first data value from the first database, the first data value being associated with the user for the purposes of identifying the user and being displayed via a display field of the graphical user interface (see column 12, lines 18-40); and

prompting for and receiving a second data value from a data source via a second input field of the graphical user interface, the second data value being associated with the user for purposes of electronic procurement authorization, the data source being different from the first database (see column 21, line 22 through column 22, line 25).

Williams et al. does not teach storing from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface the first data value and the second data value in a second database such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value from the data source are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently, the second database being different from the first database.

Lefkowitz teaches storing from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface the first data value and the second data value in a second database such

Art Unit: 2164

that the first data value from the first database and the second data value from the data source are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently, the second database being different from the first database (see paragraph 0055, where the first data value (address information) and the second data value (credit card information) are stored in a merchant database.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Williams et al. by the teachings of Lefkowitz because storing from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface the first data value and the second data value in a second database such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value from the data source are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently, the second database being different from the first database would allow the merchant to review orders and be sure that all orders were properly paid charged to the customers.

As to claim 2, Williams et al. as modified, teaches the method further comprising validating the second data value (see Lefkowitz, paragraph 0055).

As to claim 3, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein the first data value is a validated data value (see Williams et al. column 12, lines 18-40).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 4, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein receiving the second data value includes receiving the second data value via a computer (see column 21, line 22 through column 22 line 25).

As to claim 5, Williams et al. as modified, teaches further comprising sending at least in part an applet to the computer (see column 9, line 62 through column 10, line 41).

As to claim 6, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein sending at least in part the applet to the computer includes sending graphical user interface data (see column 9, line 62 through column 10, line 41).

As to claim 7, Williams et al. as modified, teaches further comprising receiving purchasing card information (see column 21, line 22 through column 22, line 25).

As to claim 8, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein the purchasing card information includes a purchasing card number of a purchasing card and an identification of an owner of the purchasing card (see column 21, line 22 through column 22, line 25).

As to claim 9, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein the purchasing card is selected from the group consisting of a credit card and a debit card (see column 14, line 62 through column 15, line 11).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 10, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein the owner of the purchasing card is different from the user (see column 14, line 62 through column 15, line 11 and see column 21, line 22 through column 22, line 25, where it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the owner of a purchasing card is the issuing bank).

As to claim 11, Williams et al. as modified, teaches further comprising sending an electronic communication to the owner of the purchasing card, the electronic communication related to determining whether the user is authorized to use the purchasing card (see Lefkowitz, paragraph 0055).

As to claim 12, Williams et al. as modified, teaches further comprising storing a third data value and a fourth data value in the second database, the third data value and the fourth data value being associated with the user, the third data value and the fourth data value being received from one of the data source and the first database (see Lefkowitz, paragraph 0046).

As to claim 13, Williams et al. as modified, teaches further comprising selectively sending at least one of and less than all of the first data value, the second data value, the third data value, and the fourth data value (see Lefkowitz, paragraph 0055).

As to claim 14, Williams et al. teaches a method for database registration, the method comprising:

receiving a user identification of a user via a first user input field of a graphical user

Art Unit: 2164

interface of a computer of a first information system (see column 20, line 60 through column 21, line 20);

sending a query to a first database of the first information system, the query based at least in part on the user identification (see column 19, lines 20-40, where it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that a query would be used to retrieve the user data of the selected user after a password was entered);

receiving a first data value from the first database, the first data value being associated with the user for purpose of identifying the user and being displayed via a display field of the graphical user interface (see column 12, lines 18-40); and

prompting for and receiving a second data value via a second user input field of the graphical user interface of the computer, the second data value being associated with the user for purposes of electronic procurement authorization (see column 21, line 22 through column 22, line 25).

Williams et al. does not teach storing from the display field and the first and second input fields of the graphical user interface the user identification, the first data value, and the second data value in a second database of the first information system such that the user identification, the first data value, and the second data value are stored within the second database concurrently and persistently, the second database being different from the first database; validating the second data value; sending the validated second data value and the first data value to a third database, the third database being different from the first database and the second database, the third database being part of a second information system, the second information system being different from the first information system.

Lefkowitz teaches storing from the display field and the first and second input fields of the graphical user interface the user identification, the first data value, and the second data value in a second database of the first information system such that the user identification, the first data value, and the second data value are stored within the second database concurrently and persistently, the second database being different from the first database (see paragraph 0046); validating the second data value (see paragraph 0055); sending the validated second data value and the first data value to a third database, the third database being different from the first database and the second database, the third database being part of a second information system, the second information system being different from the first information system (see paragraph 0055, where it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that both the credit card and the address would be sent to a credit card server to authorize a payment).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Williams et al. by the teachings of Lefkowitz because storing from the display field and the first and second input fields of the graphical user interface the user identification, the first data value, and the second data value in a second database of the first information system such that the user identification, the first data value, and the second data value are stored within the second database concurrently and persistently, the second database being different from the first database; validating the second data value; sending the validated second data value and the first data value to a third database, the third database being different from the first database and the second database, the third database being part of a second information system, the second information system being different from the first information system would allow the merchant to review orders and be sure that all orders were properly paid

Art Unit: 2164

charged to the customers.

As to claim 15, Williams et al. as modified, teaches further comprising:
receiving purchasing card information from the first computer (see Williams et al., column 16, lines 44-64); and
storing the purchasing card information in the second database (see Lefkowitz, paragraph 0046).

As to claim 16, Williams et al. as modified, teaches further comprising validating the purchasing card information (see Lefkowitz, paragraph 0055).

As to claim 17, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein the purchasing card information includes a purchasing card number and an identification of a purchasing card owner (see Williams et al., figure 33).

As to claim 18, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein the purchasing card owner is different from the user (see Williams et al., column 14, line 62 through column 15, line 11 and see column 21, line 22 through column 22, line 55, where it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the owner of a purchasing card is the issuing bank).

As to claim 19, Williams et al. as modified, teaches further comprising sending a purchasing card validation query to the owner of the purchasing card, the purchasing card

Art Unit: 2164

validation query including at least in part an identification of the user (see Lefkowitz, paragraph 0055).

As to claim 20, Williams et al. teaches a system for database registration, the system comprising:

- a first server including database registration instructions (see column 20, line 60 through column 21, line 20);

- a first database coupled to the first server, the first database to store at least in part a first data value associated with a user (see figure 1B, reference number 158); and

- a computer coupled to the first server, the computer providing a graphical user interface to receive a second data value associated with the user for purposes of electronic procurement authorization via a second input field of the graphical user interface (see column 21, line 22 through column 22, line 25), the computer to receive the first data value from the first database for purposes of identifying the user and display the first data value via a display field of the graphical user interface in response to receiving a user identifier via a first input field of the graphical user interface (see column 12, lines 18-40).

Williams et al. does not teach a second database coupled to the computer, the second database to receive the first data value and the second data value from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface of the computer, the second database to store the first data value and the second data value such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently.

Lefkowitz teaches a second database coupled to the computer, the second database to receive the first data value and the second data value from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface of the computer, the second database to store the first data value and the second data value such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently (see paragraph 0046).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Williams et al. by the teachings of Lefkowitz because a second database coupled to the computer, the second database to receive the first data value and the second data value from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface of the computer, the second database to store the first data value and the second data value such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently would allow the merchant to review orders and be sure that all orders were properly paid charged to the customers.

As to claim 21, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein:

the first server includes a first server processor and a first server memory, the first server memory including a plurality of instructions configured to be executed by the server, the plurality of instructions configured to be executed by the server including the database registration instructions; and the computer includes a processor and a memory, the memory including a plurality of instructions configured to be executed by the processor, the plurality of instructions configured to be executed by the processor including at least a portion of the

Art Unit: 2164

database registration instructions, the at least a portion of the database registration instructions being received from the first server (see Williams et al., column 4, line 35 through column 5, line 18).

As to claim 23, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein the first data value associated with the user includes a validated first data value associated with the user (see column 12, lines 18-40).

As to claim 24, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein:
the computer is to receive purchasing card information(see Williams et al., column 21, line 22 through column 22, line 25); and
the second database is to store the received purchasing card information (see Lefkowitz, paragraph 0046).

As to claim 25, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein:
the computer is to receive purchasing card information (see Williams et al., column 21, line 22 through column 22, line 25);
the second database is to store the purchasing card information (see Lefkowitz, paragraph 0046); and
the database registration instructions include instructions to validate the purchasing card information (see Lefkowitz paragraph 0055).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 26, Williams et al. teaches a system for database registration, the system comprising:

a first server of a first information system, the first server including database registration instructions and user data feed instructions (see column 20, line 60 through column 21, line 20);

a first database of the first information system, the first database coupled to the first server, the first database to store at least in part a first data value associated with a user (see figure 1B, reference number 158); and

a computer of the first information system, the computer coupled to the first server, the computer providing a graphical user interface to receive a second data value associated with the user for purposes of electronic procurement authorization via a second input field of the graphical user interface (see column 21, line 22 through column 22, line 25), the computer to receive the first data value from the first database for purposes of identifying the user and display the first data value via a display field of the graphical user interface in response to receiving a user ID entered via a first input field of the graphical user interface (see column 12, line 18-40).

Williams et al. does not teach a second database of the first information system, the second database coupled to the computer, the second database to receive the first data value and the second data value from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface of the computer, the second database to store the first data value and the second data value such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently; a second server coupled to the first server, the second server being part of a second information system, the second server including user data upload instructions; and a third database coupled to the second server, the

third database being part of the second information system.

Lefkowitz teaches a second database of the first information system, the second database coupled to the computer, the second database to receive the first data value and the second data value from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface of the computer, the second database to store the first data value and the second data value such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently (see paragraph 0046); a second server coupled to the first server, the second server being part of a second information system, the second server including user data upload instructions; and a third database coupled to the second server, the third database being part of the second information system (see paragraph 0055).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Williams et al. by the teachings of Lefkowitz because a second database of the first information system, the second database coupled to the computer, the second database to receive the first data value and the second data value from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface of the computer, the second database to store the first data value and the second data value such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently; a second server coupled to the first server, the second server being part of a second information system, the second server including user data upload instructions; and a third database coupled to the second server, the third database being part of the second information system would allow the merchant to review orders and be sure that all orders were properly paid charged to the customers.

As to claim 27, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein the user data feed instructions include instructions to selectively send data from the second database to the third database (see Lefkowitz paragraph 0055).

As to claim 28, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein the second database is to store a third data value and a fourth data value, the third data value and the fourth data value being associated with the user, the third data value and the fourth data value being received from one of the computer and the first database (see Lefkowitz, paragraph 0046).

As to claim 29, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein user data feed instructions include instructions to selectively send at least one of and less than all of the first data value, the second data value, the third data value, and the fourth data value to the third database (see Lefkowitz, paragraph 0055).

As to claim 30, Williams et al. teaches a system for database registration, the system comprising:

means for receiving a user identifier of a user via a first input field of a graphical user interface (see column 20, line 60 through column 21 line 20);

means for sending a query to a first database based at least in part on the user identifier (see column 19, lines 20-40, where it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that a query would be used to retrieve the user data of the selected user after a password was entered);

means for receiving a first data value from the first database, the first data value being associated with the user for purposes of identifying the user and being displayed via a display field of the graphical user interface (see column 12, lines 18-40); and

means for receiving a second data value from a data source via a second input field of the graphical user interface, the second data value being associated with the user for purposes of electronic procurement authorization, the data source being different from the first database (see column 21, line 22 through column 22 line 25).

Williams et al. does not teach means for storing from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface the first data value and the second data value in a second database such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value from the data source are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently, the second database being different from the first database.

Lefkowitz teaches means for storing from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface the first data value and the second data value in a second database such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value from the data source are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently, the second database being different from the first database (see paragraph 0055, where the first data value (address information) and the second data value (credit card information) are stored in a merchant database).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Williams et al. by the teachings of Lefkowitz because means for storing from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface

Art Unit: 2164

the first data value and the second data value in a second database such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value from the data source are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently, the second database being different from the first database would allow the merchant to review orders and be sure that all orders were properly paid charged to the customers.

As to claim 31, Williams et al. as modified, teaches further comprising means for validating the second data value (see Lefkowitz, paragraph 0055).

As to claim 32, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein the means for receiving the user identifier of the user includes a means for pre-qualifying a user (see Williams et al., column 20, line 60 through column 21, line 20).

As to claim 33, Williams et al. as modified, teaches further comprising means for requesting user information (see Williams et al., column 19, lines 20-40).

As to claim 34, Williams et al. as modified, teaches further comprising means for requesting purchasing card information (see Williams et al., column 21, line 22 through column 22, line 25).

As to claim 35, Williams et al. as modified, teaches further comprising means for requesting shipping information (see Williams et al., column 19, lines 20-40).

As to claim 36, Williams et al. as modified, teaches further comprising means for validating the purchasing card information (see Lefkowitz, paragraph 0055).

As to claim 37, Williams et al. teaches a method for database registration, the method comprising:

a step for receiving a user identifier of a user via a first input field of a graphical user interface (see column 20, line 60 through column 21, line 20);

a step for sending a query to a first database based at least in part on the user identifier (see column 19, lines 20-40, where it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that a query would be used to retrieve the user data of the selected user after a password was entered);

a step for receiving a first data value from the first database, the first data value being associated with the user for the purposes of identifying the user and being displayed via a display field of the graphical user interface (see column 12, lines 18-40); and

a step for receiving a second data value from a data source via a second input field of the graphical user interface, the second data value being associated with the user for purposes of electronic procurement, the data source being different from the first database (see column 21, line 22 through column 22, line 25).

Williams et al. does not teach a step for storing from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface the first data value and the second data value in a second database such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value

Art Unit: 2164

from the data source are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently, the second database being different from the first database.

Lefkowitz teaches a step for storing from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface the first data value and the second data value in a second database such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value from the data source are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently, the second database being different from the first database (see paragraph 0055, where the first data value (address information) and the second data value (credit card information) are stored in a merchant database.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Williams et al. by the teachings of Lefkowitz because a step for storing from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface the first data value and the second data value in a second database such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value from the data source are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently, the second database being different from the first database would allow the merchant to review orders and be sure that all orders were properly paid charged to the customers.

As to claim 38, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein the step for receiving the first data value from the first database includes a step for receiving a validated first data value from the first database (Williams et al., column 12, lines 18-40).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 39, Williams et al. as modified, teaches further comprising:

a step for receiving purchasing card information (see Williams et al., column 16, lines 44-64); and

a step for validating purchasing card information (see Lefkowitz, paragraph 0046).

As to claim 40, Williams et al. as modified, teaches further comprising a step for selectively sending data from the second database to a third database (see Lefkowitz, paragraph 0055).

As to claim 41, Williams et al. teaches a computer-readable medium storing a plurality of instructions to be executed by a processor for database registration, the plurality, of instructions comprising instructions to:

receive a user identifier of a user via a first input field of a graphical user interface (see column 20, line 60 through column 21, line 20);

send a query to a first database based at least in part on the user identifier (see column 19, lines 20-40, where it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that a query would be used to retrieve the user data of the selected user after a password was entered);

receive a first data value of a first data field from the first database, the first data value being associated with the user for purposes of identifying the user and being displayed via a display field of the graphical user interface (see column 12, lines 18-40); and

receive a second data value from a data source via a second input field of the graphical user interface, the second data value being associated with the user for purposes of electronic

Art Unit: 2164

procurement authorization, the data source being different from the first database (see column 21, line 22 through column 22, line 25).

Williams et al. does not teach store from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface the first data value and the second data value in a second database such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value from the data source are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently, the second database being different from the first database (see paragraph 0055, where the first data value (address information) and the second data value (credit card information) are stored in a merchant database.

Lefkowitz teaches store from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface the first data value and the second data value in a second database such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value from the data source are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently, the second database being different from the first database.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Williams et al. by the teachings of Lefkowitz because store from the display field and the second input field of the graphical user interface the first data value and the second data value in a second database such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value from the data source are contained within the second database concurrently and persistently, the second database being different from the first database would allow the merchant to review orders and be sure that all orders were properly paid charged to the customers.

As to claim 42, Williams et al. as modified, teaches wherein the instructions to receive the first data value from the first database include instructions to receive a validated first data value from the first database (see Williams et al., column 12, lines 18-40).

As to claim 43, Williams et al. as modified, teaches further comprising instructions to validate the second data value (see Lefkowitz, paragraph 0055).

As to claim 44, Williams et al. as modified, teaches further comprising instructions to selectively send data from the second database to the third database (see Lefkowitz paragraph 0055).

As to claim 45, Williams et al. teaches a system for database registration of an electronic procurement system, the system comprising:

a first server including database registration instructions, the first server associated with an electronic procurement purchasing organization, the electronic procurement purchasing organizations including a plurality of users (see column 20, line 60 through column 21, line 20);

a first database coupled to the first server, the first database to store at least in part a first data value associated with a user of the plurality of users for purposes of identifying each of the users, the first database associated with the electronic procurement purchasing organization (see figure 1B, reference number 158);

a computer coupled to the first server, the computer providing a graphical user interface

Art Unit: 2164

to receive a second data value associated with the user via a second input field of the graphical user interface (see column 21, line 22 through column 22, line 25), the computer to receive the first data value from the first database and display the first data value via a display field of the graphical user interface in response to receiving a user ID via a first input field of the graphical user interface (see column 12, lines 18-40);

Williams et al. does not teach a second database coupled to the computer, the second database to receive the first data value and the second data value from the display field and second input field of the graphical user interface of the computer, the second database to store the first data value and the second data value such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value from the second data source are stored concurrently and persistently, the first database associated with the electronic procurement purchasing organization; and a third database coupled to the second database, the third database associated with an electronic procurement vendor.

Lefkowitz teaches a second database coupled to the computer, the second database to receive the first data value and the second data value from the display field and second input field of the graphical user interface of the computer, the second database to store the first data value and the second data value such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value from the second data source are stored concurrently and persistently, the first database associated with the electronic procurement purchasing organization (see paragraph 0046); and a third database coupled to the second database, the third database associated with an electronic procurement vendor (see paragraph 0055).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2164

invention was made to have modified Williams et al. by the teachings of Lefkowitz because a second database coupled to the computer, the second database to receive the first data value and the second data value from the display field and second input field of the graphical user interface of the computer, the second database to store the first data value and the second data value such that the first data value from the first database and the second data value from the second data source are stored concurrently and persistently, the first database associated with the electronic procurement purchasing organization; and a third database coupled to the second database, the third database associated with an electronic procurement vendor would allow the merchant to review orders and be sure that all orders were properly paid charged to the customers.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 and 23-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob F. Betit whose telephone number is (571) 272-4075. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on (571) 272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

jfb
20 Jun 2005



**CHARLES RONES
PRIMARY EXAMINER**